UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,639	01/23/2006	Young-Kook Kim	HANO-005	8390
	7590 01/05/200 FIELD & FRANCIS LI	EXAMINER		
1900 UNIVERS	SITY AVENUE	SCHLIENTZ, NATHAN W		
SUITE 200 EAST PALO ALTO, CA 94303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/541,639	KIM ET AL.
zxammor milatoa mtorvion cammary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nathan W. Schlientz	1616
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>pen</u>	<u>iding</u>
(1) Nathan W. Schlientz.	(3)	
(2) <u>Karl Bozicevic</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 December 2008	Time: <u>13:00</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Nathan W Schlientz/ Examiner, Art Unit 1616	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Attorney Bozicevic left a message with the examiner requesting a return call to discuss the proper action for this application since the RCE filed 26 November 2008 was not entered. The examiner returned the call and discussed that Applicants could either amend the claims to read on compositions (as examined in the office action mailed 27 March 2008) or file a divisional/continuation application to pursue the method claims.

/John Pak/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616